

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. 09/889,436	FILING DATE 10/13/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Hans Westmijze	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ACD2665 US	CONFIRMATION NO. 2901
Richard P Fer	С		REDDICK ART UNIT 1713 DATE MAILED: 06/25/200	MARIE L PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	=		45
	La liadian No	Applicant(s)	
	Application No.	WESTIMIJZE	ET AL
•	09/889,436	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Judy M. Reddick	with the correspondence	e address
The MAN ING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover show		
eriod for Reply	EDI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication after septiments of the six of t	n. a reply within the statutory minimum o a reply within the statutory minimum o eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom mailing date of this communication, ev	f thirty (30) days will be consider MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1 en if timely filed, may reduce any	ed timely. of this communication. 33).
Status :tion(s) filed 01	n <u>10/11/01:01/30/02:04/15/</u>	<u>02</u> .	
1) Responsive to communication(s) mean	This action is non-final.	acoution	as to the merits is
2a) This action is FINAL.	allowance except for forma	il matters, prosecution	13.
1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to Disposition of Claims 2b) 2b) 2b)		33 0.0. 111	
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application of Claim(s) is/are with the application of Claims	lication.	nn	
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the appropriate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration	,,,,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is the first of the fir			
6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are objected to. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.		-nt	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	to by the Examiner.	
		in abevance. See 37 CF	R 1.85(a).
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any object 11) The proposed drawing correction filed	ction to the drawing(s) be field	d b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
Applicant may war an applicant may be proposed drawing correction filed	onis: a) approve	ion.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed If approved, corrected drawings are required to	uired in reply to this Office acc		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		= USC & 119(a)-(d) 0	r (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 3:	J U.O.O. 3 11-1-7 17	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		e sad	
- used copies of the phoney	documents have been reco	elved.	··
a) All b) Continued copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been rec	eived in Application 11	his National Stage
2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents h	nave been received iii t	
3. Copies of the Certified September 2011	of the priority documents in national Bureau (PCT Rule on for a list of the certified (copies not received.	application
* See the attached detailed Office ass.	under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application
ic made of a Uaiii	101	hoon received	d.
a) The translation of the foreign la	anguage provisional applied	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and	/OF 721.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign is 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	Tot domestic kirems	_	0 413) Paner No(s)
Attachment(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s) · nt Application (PTO-152)
		I I MONCO DE HILLIONIO I GIO.	• *
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	v (PTO-948) 5)	Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/889,436

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 10/11/01 has been considered and placed in the application file.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 6, line 4, it is suggested that applicant adopt the following language: "wherein the total weight" or "with the proviso that the total weight" in lieu of "while the total weight". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his
- invention.
 Claims 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) The recited "viscosity of 10-300 mPa.s" per claim 10 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per the conditions under which such was obtained is not readily ascertainable.
- B) The recited "A polymerization process comprising the polymerization of a monomer in the presence of an emulsion according to any one of claims 1-5" engenders awkwardly expressed claim language. The following language is suggested: "A polymerization process comprising polymerizing a monomer in the presence of an emulsion according to any one of claims 1-5".

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/889,436

The recited "comprising one or more peroxides" per claim 13 constitutes Art Unit: 1713 indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to how such further limits the antecedently recited "peroxide".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 5.
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was
 - The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1. 2.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 3. obviousness or nonobviousness. 4.
 - This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/889,436

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP Art Unit: 1713 492,712 in combination with International WO 98/18835, Lundin et al(U.S. 4,499,250) or Lundin et al(U.S. 4,547,481) and Satomi et al(U.S. 4,734,135) as per reasons clearly set forth in the previous Office Action per paper no. 7, 12/17/01, paragraph no. 8. As to the newly added dependent claims, the limitations are either taught by the prior art combination supra, suggested by the prior art combination supra or would have been obvious to the skilled artisan and with a reasonable expectation of success. There is absolutely nothing viable on this record diffusing this issue.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/15/02 have been fully considered but they are not 9. persuasive.

Relative to the 112, 2nd paragraph issue—It is urged and maintained that the conditions under which the viscosity limitation per claim 10 was obtained is not readily ascertainable. Although Counsel has provided several patents that use the claimed viscosity terminology, Counsel is herein apprised that each application stands on its own, i.e., is treated on its own merits.

Relative to the rejection under 35 USC 103—It is urged and maintained that the instantly claimed invention is obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over the prior art combination supra as per reason clearly set forth in the Grounds of

The crux of Counsels arguments appears to hinge on each of the secondary Rejection of record. references adding a multiplicity of components to the already existing compositional formulation of the primary reference EP'712 and, to this end, and with all due respect to Counsel's opinion, the secondary prior art simply provide Application/Control Number: 09/889,436

Art Unit: 1713

ample motivation to employ an ethoxylated fatty alcohol, as claimed, in the compositional formulation of EP'712 and with a reasonable expectation of obtaining its cumulative, additive effect. Criticality for such, not having been demonstrated on this record. Moreover, even if this were not the case and Counsel was right, the claims don't preclude additional components since the term "comprising" is an open door invite for additional ingredients.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (703)308-4346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the Application/Control Number: 09/889,436

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for Art Unit: 1713 regular communications and (703)892-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-8183.

Art Unit 1713

JMR 2774L June 21, 2002